

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,746	11/15/1999	NILO FAGIOLINI	32232-152197	7109
759	90 09/13/2002			
SPENCER & FRANK			EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW SUITE 300 EAST WASHINGTON, DC 200053955		VANOY, TIMOTHY C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	21
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



DATE MAILED:



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

CERTAL ADDADED						
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
		Г	EV	EXAMINER		
		<u> </u>		CAMMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				21		
		L.				

2004 to b communication from the Examiner in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) is extended to run #MDNTHS or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). APPEL SEPT. 3, 2002 Applicant's response to the final rejection, seed to place the application in condition for allowance: Applicant's response to the final rejection, seed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
HOTE THE AMENAMENT IS IMPROPER BECAUSE THE "CLEAN COPY" OF CLAIM I IS NOT THE SAME AS THE "MARKED - UP COPY" OF CLAIM I.
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. X Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered X will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed: NONE
Claims objected to:
However;
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): NONE
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because of the REASONS OF RECORD. THE ODP REJECTION IS MAINTAINED BECAUSE THE APPLICANTS ARE ALSO CLAIMING A PROCESS, FIG. 4.3 IN SENCLUSURE 1
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown and and out.
presented. PLOTS 2 DIFFERENT VARIABLES ON THE AXES, WHICH IS NOT THE PROPERTY OF THE SINGLE VARIABLES ON THE AXES WHICH IS NOT THE PROPOSED Grawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
Other
Supervisory Cotton Examiner
Tol. 300 (PEV 5.80)